

Jason Hale mentioned to me that he met with city staff to bring attention to the potential environmental consequences of not authorizing a proper far-field model for the proposed Inner Harbor Desalination Plant.

- What's the reason the City of Corpus Christi chose **not** to conduct a far-field model of the Inner Harbor location?
- How much would this modeling cost the city?
- Has anyone else, or any other institution, made a similar recommendation to the city? I'm referring to the recommendation to authorize a far-field model of the proposed site of the Inner Harbor Desalination Plant.
 - If so, please identify the source of this recommendation and whether it's available in writing, in digital or physical form.
 - If so, what was the city's response?

It's my understanding that Jason requested the most recent version of the below documents from the city.

- [Appendix A - Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler Flow Monitoring at Inner Harbor site July 16, 2021](#)
- [Inner Harbor Technical Memorandum July 16, 2021](#)
 - Why does the 2023 version of the 2021 [Inner Harbor Technical Memorandum](#) released to Jason exclude the detailed description of how the background flow is calculated?
 - Why was the description (of how the background flow is calculated) excluded from the 2023 version despite this level of detail being included in the 2021 version?
 - Why was the updated, 2023 version of the [Inner Harbor Technical Memorandum](#) released to Jason but is not published on the [city's desalination website](#)?
- How confident is the City of Corpus Christi that following through with current plans to build the Inner Harbor Desalination Plant will **not** result in large "dead zones" along the bottom of the ship channel in the Inner Harbor and in Corpus Christi Bay?
 - Source: Ben R. Hodges, Ph.D., Expert Report: Development of a "dead zone" from the proposed Inner Harbor desalination outfall (April 16, 2024)
- Has the city sent all of its CORMIX and QUAL-TX modeling files to the TCEQ? Specifically the original modeling for all discharge scenarios (e.g. modeling files for 20 MGD with rr50 and 30 MGD with rr40 are missing) and the revised modeling it conducted to support its response to the City's Requests for Information ("RFIs").
 - Source: Inner Harbor Desal Discharge Comments by Hillcrest Residents Association and attorneys